



**MINUTES OF THE 17<sup>th</sup> EXPERT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON MONDAY, 08<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2008 AT 1.00 PM IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, JANPATH, NEW DELHI**

The 17<sup>th</sup> Expert Advisory Committee meeting was held on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2008 in the Conference Room of the Directorate General under the Chairmanship of Director General, Archaeological Survey of India to examine the and make recommendations in respect of constructions / reconstructions in the prohibited and regulated areas of centrally protected monuments.

In the meeting following experts and officers from ASI, MCD, RTDC and Devasthan Deaprtment, Govt. of Rajasthan were present.

1. Prof. Subir Saha - Member, EAC
2. Shri Mohd. Shaheer - Member, EAC
3. Prof. Narayani Gupta - Member, EAC

**Officers from ASI, RTDC, MCD and DDA**

1. Shri Vijay S. Madan, Addl. D.G., ASI
2. Shri A.K. Sinha, S.A. (M)
3. Shri K.K. Mohammed, SA, Delhi Circle
4. Shri M. Nambirajan, SA, Thrissur Circle
5. Shri Jitender Nath, Dy. SA, Vadodara Circle
6. Shri K.K. Sharma, Dy.SA, Agra Circle
7. Shri N. Taher, Dy. SA, Goa Circle
8. Shri K.P. Padhy, Dy. SA, Bhubaneshwar Circle
9. Shri T.J. Alone, SA,Jaipur Circle
10. Shri V.K.Swarnkar,Dy. SA, Delhi Circle
11. Shri Sanjay Jain, CE-V, MCD
12. Shri Anil Tyagi, Executive Engineer, MCD
13. Shri Naurang Singh, SE, MCD
14. Shri Ramesh Chand Meena, Rajasthan Devasthan Deptt.
15. Shri Lakshmi Chand, -do-

The members of the Committed examined following cases -

## Delhi Circle

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
1	Temple of Bhairon Devji, Assistant Commissioner Rajasthan Devasthan Department, Govt. of Rajasthan	Jantar Mantar	Prohibited area	(a) 7m (b) 45m	Construction of a Chowkidar's Quarter comprising a room and kitchen and a Guest House having a room and bathroom with the maximum height of 5.275m as per the drawing submitted.	North: Colony Road and residential buildings with 12.50 height. South: Road connecting Parliament Street with Janpath. East: Road and Hospital with 9.50m height . West: Jantar Mantar	Only a Bamboo Hut exists in the premises of Bhairon ji temple where construction is proposed	A guest House is required for the officials of Rajasthan Govt. during their visits to Delhi and Chowkidar Room for Watch and Ward.	It has been informed by the Asst Commissioner that Jantar Manatar was earlier under the Govt. of Rajasthan which was later handed over to the Archaeological Survey of India. It has also been stated that while handing over Jantar Mantar to ASI, it was decided that in	As desired by the Committee in the last meeting the officials from Rajasthan, Devasthan Department attended the meeting and explained to the members the details of the proposal on the basis of site plan of their property. They however could not produce the site plan showing the location of trees and other features within the premises. The members reiterated that without having a detailed documentation of the trees within the premises and the diameter of each one of them it would be difficult to make any suggestion in respect of the location of the structure

									close vicinity where the temple of Bhairon Devji exists, the Govt. of Rajasthan shall construct a Handicraft Emporium and at the 14 <sup>th</sup> floor a guest house shall be created for the officials of the Rajasthan Govt. during their visits to Delhi.	proposed to be raised. They desired that the Devasthan Department may engage a landscape architect and get the documentation of trees done, besides the plan, section and elevation of the existing structures within the premises. The members suggested that after the required documents are submitted the proposal may again be placed before the Committee for its consideration.
2.	RUB, PWD	Barapullah Bridge	Prohibited area	24m-road alignment (60m under pass)	Construction of RUB at the location of level crossing No. 582-B. The approach road of RUB will be started close to approach road of Barapullah Bridge at a distance of 24m. The under bridge road proposed is 9.5m wide with pavement / footpath on both the sides . The depth of the	The site of proposed alignment is surrounded by single storey unauthorized JJ cluster and market with temporary structures	Digging work was started by MCD but stopped by the ASI	Construction of RUB at the location of level crossing No. 582-B in the interest of public safety and convenience which is to be constructed as one of the alternative approach roads in	The digging work was started by the MCD and A.S.I., Delhi Circle intervened in the matter and work was stopped immediately. The methodology to be used would be 'cut and push' concrete boxes measuring 4x2.50m, which will be cast in the workshop, shall be placed underground without disturbing the	The members after having done the site inspection on 31.7.2008 and assessing the ground conditions recommended that the ASI may grant permission to the MCD for construction of RUB near existing crossing at Nizamuddin near Sarai Kale Khan village subject to following conditions- 1. It must be ensured that while undertaking the work no damage at all is caused to the Barapulla Bridge, which is a centrally protected monument. 2. A Conservation Architect must be engaged by the MCD to prepare conservation and landscape plans for Barapulla Bridge and the entire area

				<p>road proposed is 4.50m as proposed. The under pass road shall run along the supporting wall of Barapulla Bridge and shall take 'L' turn in order to join the underground railway bridge. The distance between the site of under bridge and monument is 60m.</p>			<p>connection with <b>Commonwealth Games-2010</b> and smooth traffic flow between Nizamuddin and Sarai Kale Khan.</p>	<p>railway track by cutting the earth and pushing the concrete boxes inside. The invert level of these boxes is 2m above the bottom of Barapulla nalla and 3.5m above the top of the foundation of the bridge.</p> <p>The matter was discussed in the 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of EAC and it was decided to inspect the site on 31.7.2008. The inspection was done by the members of the Committee on 31.7.2008.</p>	<p>around integrating the other development works which are likely to be taken up in connection with Commonwealth Games 2010 by the PWD, Govt. of NCT Delhi. The conservation and landscape plans should be submitted to the ASI within a period of 30 days from the date of issue of permission to the MCD for construction of RUB.</p> <p>3. ASI should be got actively involved during the stage of digging for laying of the foundation for the RUB in order to retrieve archaeological remains, if any. The ASI should be kept duly informed well in advanced when the work is taken up.</p> <p>4. Use of heavy machines in close vicinity of Barapulla Bridge must be avoided.</p> <p>5. MCD must obtain fullest cooperation of PWD, DJB, BSES, Delhi Police and other Government agencies to ensure that the area around Barapulla Nalla is made encroachment free and the slum-dwellers are adequately relocated. The MCD should ensure that the entire area is properly fenced and landscaped so that the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	--

										possibility of encroachment in future is ruled out. 6. The MCD should also undertake an encroachment removal drive to make the Barapullah Bridge free from squatters. 7. The MCD should also undertake an encroachment removal drive to make the Barapullah Bridge free from squatters.
3.	Khasra nos. 371, 372, 373, 374, 375/694 at Village Kharera, Tehsil Mehrauli	Idgah	Partly in the prohibited area partly in regulated area	(a) (i) 51m (ii) 100m (b) (i) 51m (ii) 100m	The applicant has submitted four alternatives in which he wants construction in the prohibited area (9.75m or 13m height) and relaxation of height in the regulated area upto 29.25m	The monument as well as the site of proposed construction both are surrounded by buildings with 5.50m to 15m height (site plan enclosed)	<b>Vacant plot</b> (The permission for the construction in the regulated area upto the maximum height of 15m has been given to the applicant vide letter dated 03-07-2006)	The plot is lying vacant after the ownership rests with the owner and wants the relaxation to achieve the FAR of 23192 Sqyard (19391.30 Sqm as permitted under the Master Plan of Delhi-2021	The following alternative have been given by the applicants after leaving 51m area from the monument: Construction in the (i) Prohibited area- 13m to 19.5m In the regulated area 22.75m to 26m (ii) Prohibited area- 13m to 19.5m In the regulated area 22.75m to 29.25m (iii) Prohibited area- 9.75m to	The members on the basis of site inspection done on 31.7.2008 to assist the ground conditions and the interest of the monument recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction of buildings as proposed in Option 3 subject to certain modifications. The members recommended the ASI to grant the permission as detailed below – i) The builder shall not construct any building within 50 m of the protected limits of the monument. ii) the applicant may be allowed to construct the nearest two blocks from the prohibited area upto the maximum height of 9.75 m. iii) The applicant may be allowed to construct the second

								<p>16.25m In the regulated area- 29.25m (iv)Prohibited area- 9.75m to 16.25m In the regulated area- 26m and 29.25m The matter was discussed in the 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of EAC and it was decided to inspect the site on 31.7.2008. The inspection was done by the members of the Committee on 31.7.2008.</p>	<p>row of building blocks (3 units) upto the maximum height of 13 m, as proposed in the schematic drawing of option-3. iv) The members expressed that the ASI may permit construction of third row of building blocks which are proposed farthest in the prohibited area and also those in the first regulated area as per Option-3 drawing upto the maximum height of 15 m only. v) The height relaxation sought by the applicant in respect of building blocks proposed to be built beyond 100 m of the monument, may not be accepted by the ASI. The applicant may be allowed to construct building units only upto the height of 15 m in the first regulated area. vi) The ASI may allow construction of stilt / basement for car parking proposed by the applicant as per the MCD by-laws. vii) The Committee suggested that while granting formal permission the ASI may measure the exact distance of the building units as proposed by the applicant in Option-3 to ensure that no construction</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

										comes up in the first 50 m of the protected area of the monument and the construction of building blocks in the prohibited and regulated areas is carried out as per the restrictions imposed as above.
4.	Mrs. Chanda A1/71, Panchshel Enclave, New Delhi	Lal Gumbad at Chirag Delhi	Prohibited area	(a) 89m (b) 89m	Reconstruction of building 18.44m (60.5feet) from ground level upto machine room with 2.438m (8feet) basement	N:- Residential building with 12.5m height and service lane  S:-Road and Residential building with 12.5m height  E:- Residential building with 12.5 height  W:- Residential building with 15m height.	10.2m (Ground+1+ Mumty as per MCD sanctioned plan of 06.11.1981)	Expansion of family	N.A.	The Committee recommended that the ASI may accept the request of the applicant subject to the condition that the height of the building to be constructed at the property shall not be beyond 15 m (including mumty / machine room) and grant permission to the applicant accordingly. The top floor should have only the machine room and mumty. The members also recommended that ASI may also grant permission for construction of basement at the property.
5.	Shri Jaswant Singh, Shri Satwant Singh and Shri Majeet Singh Plot No.	Bara Khambha	Prohibited area	(a) 84.m (b) 84m	Reconstruction of building 19.01m (62.369feet) from ground level upto mumty / machine room alongwith 2.59m ( 8.48feet)	N: Colony Road and Park S:- Service lane and residential building with 12.5. E:- Road and Aurbindo Place Market with 12.5m height	As per the sanctioned drawing the height of the building 10.972m (36feet) from ground level upto top with 7feet deep	As mentioned by the applicant building is old and in dilapidated condition and family has grown large and	N.A.	SA, Delhi Circle during discussion mentioned that during inspection it was found that the height of the existing building is more than what had been sanctioned by the MCD and it seems that the owner has carried out construction of third floor unauthorisedly at his property. The members desired that the ASI may seek necessary



	30, Pocket C-1, Safdarjung Development Area, New Delhi				basement below the ground level.	W:-Residential building with 9.5m height  Road and park are in between the monument and the site	basement below the ground level	thus reconstruct ion needed to meet out the requiremen t		clarifications from the MCD in regard to the sanctioned building plan and unauthorized construction, if any. The Committee desired that the details may be brought to the notice of the Committee in the next Expert Advisory Committee to take a decision on the appeal made by the owner of property.
6.	Shri Jai Kumar Trehan Plot No. E-26, Panchsh eel Park, New Delhi	Siri Fort Wall	Prohibit ed area	(a) 89.m (b) 89m	Reconstruction of building 17.297m (56.75feet) from ground level upto machine room alongwith 1.6m (5.25feet) basement below the ground level.	N: Residential building with 10m height approx. S:- Residential building with 13m height. E:- Road and residential building with 10m to 12.5m height  W:-Service lane and residential building with 12.5m height	As per the sanctioned drawing the height of the building is 10.972m (36feet) from ground level upto top with 6feet deep basement below the ground level	As mentioned by the applicant the building is old and in dilapidated condition .	N.A.	SA, Delhi Circle gave a power-point presentation before the members of the Committee explaining the ground conditions around the protected monument and the site of re-construction with the help of relevant documents, duly marked area map mentioning the height of structures, site plan, photographs and Google Earth images. The members felt that there are a large number of buildings which already exist in the prohibited area of Siri Fort Wall, a protected monument, having height upto and in some cases even beyond 15 m, if the height of mumty/machine room/water tank is also added up. They opined that by allowing re-construction of the building at the site upto the height of 15 m the view of the monument shall

										not be obstructed in any. The Committee recommended that the ASI may accept the appeal of the applicant subject to the condition that the height of the building (including mumty/machine room) to be constructed at the property shall not be beyond 15 m. The top floor of the building to be constructed should have only the mumty and machine room. The members also recommended that ASI may also grant permission for construction of basement-cum-stilt for car parking as proposed by the applicant at the property.
7.	Shri C.S. Sethi, Property No. BP-8, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi	Khan-i-Khana Tomb	Prohibited area	(a) 72.m (b) 72m	Addition of basement below the ground level.	N: Service, park and residential building with 9.50m to 12.50m height approx. S: Colony Road and Monument E:- Residential building with 12.5m height W: Residential building with 12.5m height Road and park are in between the monument and the site	Permission has already been granted by the EAC for reconstruction upto 11.67m height as per the existing height of the building but without basement.	As mentioned by the applicant basement is required to augment space for bonafide store / use	N.A.	The members observed that ASI may not agree to grant the permission for construction of basement since the Committee had given the recommendations not to grant the permission for construction of basement during the meeting held on 28.3.2008 after having known the ground conditions. The Committee felt that such requests may not be accepted when a clear cut decision has already been taken on earlier occasion.

8.	Sh. Ram Niwas Gupta Property No. C-85, Shivalik, New Delhi	Group of Monument at Sarai Shahji	Prohibited area	(a) 52m (b) 52m	Reconstruction of Building with maximum height of 12.85m (42.13feet) from ground level upto mumty/ machine room alongwith 1.83m (6feet) deep basement.	N:- Vacant plot for school S:-Residential building with 12.50 app. E:- Road and Residential building 9 to 10.5m height W:- 6.00m to 9.50	Vacant Plot	He could not constructed property since DDA allotted him in the year 1988 and finally conveyed in the year 2004 because of some litigation and then A.S.I. had rejected the proposal since the property falls in the prohibited area.	The site plan/layout plan was approved by DDA after detailed discussion among the DDA Officials and Archaeological Survey of India (Including Director General's level) and the society in the year 1984-85. The monument declared as centrally protected monuments in the year 1988. The Hon'ble High Court vide its order dated 18-08-2008 has directed the A.S.I. to place the Writ Petition alongwith its Annexures before the Committee.	The Committee members opined that SA, Delhi Circle should submit all such cases in Shivalik Colony wherein the Hon'ble High Court has asked the ASI to take a fresh view besides those cases wherein the ASI had granted permission for construction / re-construction within the prohibited area on earlier occasions as per the recommendations of the Expert Advisory Committee keeping in view the ground conditions. The members also observed that piecemeal decisions should be avoided when a number of almost similar nature are pending.
9.	Shri Rama Arora	Sakri Gumti	Prohibited area	(a) 49m (b) 49m	Construction of the building upto 15.78m (51feet 9inches)	N: Residential building with 15m height approx.	Vacant Plot	The plot is lying vacant since last	N.A.	The Committee recommended that the ASI may accept the request of the applicant subject to the condition that the height

	Property No. K-38 A, Green Park, New Delhi				from ground level upto mumty/ machine room alongwith 1.82m (6feet) deep basement below the ground level	S: Residential building with 12.5m height approx. E:- Service Lane and Residential building with 12.5m height approx.  W: Road and Residential building with 12.5m height approx.		fifty years		of the building (including mumty/machine room) to be constructed at the property shall not be beyond 12.5 m and grant permission to the applicant accordingly. The members desired that the top floor should have only the mumty and machine room. They also recommended that ASI may grant permission for construction of basement at the property.
10.	Sh. Sneh Pal Bhatia, Sh. Sham Priya Bhatia, Sh. Satish Pal Bhatia, Sh. Suraj Pal Bhatia, Sh. Shakti Pal Bhatia and Smt.	Biran ka Gumbad	Prohibited area	(a) 88.m (b) 88m	Reconstruction of Building with basement as applied with 14.935m ( 49feet) from ground level upto top/ terrace with 2.133m basement below the ground level	N: Commercial Building with 12.5m height  S:- Residential building with 4m height(12.5m granted by the Expert Advisory Committee  E:- Main Road  W:-Service Lane with 12.5m Residential building	12.50m (41 feet) sanctioned by D.D.A. on 15-01-1968	Increase of family	N.A.	Committee members felt that there are a large number of buildings which already exist in the prohibited area of Biran-ka-Gumbad. They observed that the entire row of buildings has been are in commercial use with height of most of the buildings upto 12.5 m. The members recommended that the ASI may grant permission for reconstruction of the building upto the maximum height of 11 m plus mumty and machine room over the roof terrace. They were also of the opinion that ASI may have no objection in allowing construction of the basement as per the bye-laws of the MCD.



**JAIPUR CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
11.	Locality Jhalrapatan, Distt. Jhalawar, State Rajasthan.	Old temple near Chandrabhaga	Prohibited area.	Distance of different proposed works from monuments varies from 1 mt. to 60 mts.	The details of different proposed works with height and area are given at <b>Annexure I</b> , Site Plan No. 2 and Figs. 1 to 9 as submitted with original report.	(a) Monument is situated along northern margin of the ghats built on left/northern back of Chandrabhaga river. The height of temple varies from 5 mts. to 12 mts. (b) The details of sites of construction with height is given at <b>Annexure I</b> . (c) No.	Fair	Development of the Ghats and the area around protected temples besides creating visitors facilities.	The details have been furnished by RTDC with the proposal. SA has recommended the proposal with minor additions and alterations. The proposal was discussed in the 16 <sup>th</sup> EAC meeting wherein it was decided that RTDC may be asked to give a power-point presentation before the	The members of the Committee observed that the proposal has not been drafted properly. In fact, the RTDC should have consulted an architect having experience of working at heritage sites. They were of the opinion that the RTDC may be advised by the ASI to re-draft the proposal taking the help of a conservation architect in consultation with the Superintending Archaeologist, Jaipur Circle so that angles relevant to protected monument is duly taken care of and re-submit the revised proposal to the ASI for consideration.

									Committee in the next meeting.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	--

**VADODARA CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protect ed/ Prohibi ted/ Regula ted Area	Dist- ance (a) from prot ecte d limit (b) from mon ume nt	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
12.	<b>P.T.S.No.90/34-A near Fort, Diu together with the building inside, Diu (U.T.) by the Executive Engineer, PWD Works Division</b>	Fort together with the building Inside, Diu (UT)	Prohibit ed area	20.00 mtrs.	Development of open space by creating facilities for Paved parking, storm water, drainage and providing benches for improving existing parking for public facilities. The proposed paved Parking on existing ground level only depth of Drain "a" is	<b>North :</b> Arabian Sea <b>South:</b> Arabian Sea <b>East :</b> Arabian Sea <b>West :</b> Open plot & building G.F., ht. 3 mtrs.	Open Plot	The proposed paved Parking. Strom water, drainage and providing benches for improving existing parking for public facilities. The proposed parking on	No court case is pending for proposed construction/deve lopment.	The Committee members however felt that the documentation done to reflect the ground conditions is not adequate for opinion on the proposal submitted by the Govt. of Diu. They observed that the protected monument is quite sensitive the development activities in the close vicinity need careful planning. The members, therefore, desired that the ASI may ask the Govt. of Diu for a comprehensive integrated development plan prepared for the open areas around Diu Fort with a view to show case the monument from



	<b>No.II, Diu</b>				1.15 mtrs. And depth of drain "B" is 0.75 mtrs. i.e. 75 cms.			existing ground level only depth of drain "A" is 1.15 mtrs. And depth of drain "B" is 0.75 mtrs. i.e. 75 cms.		tourism point of view.
13.	<b>Survey No.478/ Part, Isanpur , Ahmed abad near Small Stone Mosque , Isanpur , Ahmed abad, Gujarat in respect of M/S Chairman of Kamdh</b>	Small Stone Mosque, Isanpur, Ahmed abad.	Prohibited Area	(a) 59 mtrs. (b) 45.50 mtrs.	Construction of residential building.	<b>North :</b> GF & GF+1 building, ht. upto 7.00 mtrs. <b>South:</b> GF & GF+1 building, ht. Upto 3 to 10 mtrs. <b>East :</b> GF + 1,2 building ht. upto 10 mtrs. <b>West :</b> Road & GF ht. upto 4 mtrs.	----	Due to old construction applicant had demolished the old construction a few years ago & constructed cellar with the total depth of 3.10 mtrs. (i.e. 2.50 mtrs. Below G.L. + 0.60 mtrs. Above G.L.)	-----	The Committee members observed from the photographs shown that the site of construction falls across the road and in between the site of construction and the monument, adjacent to the protected area, a double storeyed building already exists. It was observed that in case permission for construction to the appellant is granted upto the maximum height of 4.33 m the view of the monument will not be obstructed any further. The Committee recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction of the building upto the maximum height of 4.33 m including parapet wall from the ground level and regularise the basement already constructed.

	<b>enu Tename nt Owners Associat ion, Isanpur , Ahmed abad.</b>									
14.	<b>C.S. No.28/A &amp; 23/P, Vibhag- B, Tika No.11/2, Vadoda ra near Fresco Rooms in Bhau Tambek ar's Wada, Vadoda ra, Gujarat by Shri Nimpa m S. Pandya &amp;others</b>	Tambe kar's Wada, Vadoda ra	Prohibit ed Area	a. 18.0 0 mtrs. b. 23 mtrs.	Reconstruction of commercial & residential building.	<b>North :</b> Adj resi / comm. Bldg. 6 mtrs. & many resi/comm. Bldg.GF +2&3, ht.9 to 12 mtrs. <b>South:</b> Adj. comm./resi bldg. GF+2, ht.9 mtrs., S-E corner hospital bldg.GF+3, ht.13 mtrs. <b>East :</b> Road, bldg. GF+3, ht.12 mtrs. <b>West :</b> Road & comm./resi bldg GF+2, ht.9 mtrs.	—	The building is in dilapidated condition.	Show Cause Notice was issued by SA on 11.7.2007.	The members were of the opinion that the documentation done by Vadodara Circle is not upto the mark. The concerned officers should be instructed to undertake detailed documentation before making presentation before the Committee so that complete picture emerges to enable the members to take a view on the appeal case. They felt that a clear cut guideline may be issued to all the Circles as to how to make presentation and what need to be presented. The members , however, on perusal of the photographs of the building under construction, the monument and other relevant documents opined that the ASI may grant permission for completion of the building under construction subject to the condition that the height of

										the building is maintained at par with the height of the building which existed earlier at the site. They desired that the SA, Vadodara Circle may ascertain the height of the existing building and inform the Directorate General accordingly along with documents so that formal permission is issued.
15.	<b>PTS No.116/25, Firangi wada, Diu (U.T.) near Saint Paul's Church, Diu (U.T.) by Smt. Terezinha Noronha.</b>	St. Paul's Church, Diu (UT)	Prohibited Area	a. 87.50 mtrs. b. 78.00 mtrs.	Reconstruction of residential building.	<b>North:</b> Road & residential building GF & GF+1, height 3 to 7 mtrs. <b>South:</b> Part of proposed site open land & SW corner residential building GF+1 & cabin, height 9 mtrs. <b>East :</b> Open plot & residential building (Quarters) GF, height 3 mtrs. Only. <b>West :</b> Open plot, Road & residential building GF+1, height 7.00 mtrs.	The existing building is in dilapidated condition.	The existing building roof slab & walls have developed some cracks and the structure is in dilapidated condition.	_____	The members of the Committee recommended that the ASI may grant permission for re-construction of the building upto the maximum height of 4.20 m including parapet wall and mummy subject to the condition that the façade and outer walls of the structure to be built is given a finish as per the traditional style. They also desired that the ASI should put a condition on the appellant that she will paint the exterior of the building in white only since most of the older buildings in Diu were even now white painted externally.

16.	<b>Sub-plot No.3&amp; 4 F.P.No. 31, T.P.S.No.3 (Varied). C.S.No. 439, &amp; 440, Usmanpura, Ahmedabad near Saiyad usman's Mosque &amp; Tomb by M/S Hiramani land Development Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Sayed Usman's Mosque & Tomb, Usmanpura, Ahmedabad.	Prohibited Area	28.00 mtrs.	Re-construction of residential building.	<b>North:</b> Road & GF+1&2, ht. 7 to 10 mtrs. <b>South:</b> GF+1 & GF+2, ht. 7 to 10 mtrs. <b>East :</b> GF+2 & 3, height 10 to 12 mtrs. <b>West :</b> GF+1 & GF+2, height 7 to 10 mtrs.	Existing building has already been demolished by the applicant. Now open plot.	Due to very old construction applicant had demolished the old construction & now wants to re-construct the building.	_____	The Committee observed that better documentation of the site of construction should have been done. They opined that the ASI may grant permission to the appellant for re-construction of the building upto the height of the building which exists next to the monument. Since the Dy. SA, Vadodara Circle could not explain the height of the buildings in existence close to the monument the members recommended that the ASI may ascertain the height of the existing buildings closer to the monument and permit the re-construction of the building to the appellant restricting the height at par with the height of the existing buildings located in the alignment. It was decided that SA, Vadodara shall collect the details in respect of the height of the buildings existing at the site and inform the Directorate General so that formal permission is issued.
-----	--	--	-----------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	-------	---

17.	<b>City Survey Ward no.2 Survey no.5304 to 5307, Probandar (Gujarat) by Smt. Umaben Pravinbhai Korava &amp; others.</b>	House of Mahatma Gandhi, Porbandar	Prohibited Area	41.00 mtrs.	Repair	<b>North:</b> Road and old type building GF+1, 2 & 3, height 7 to 12 mtrs. <b>South:</b> Adj. GF+1 building, height upto 7 mtrs. <b>East</b> ∴ Open chowk, GF+2 building, height upto 10 mtrs. <b>West:</b> Lane & GF+1 building, height upto 7 mtrs.	Applicant has dismantled the existing building and has started the construction.	_____	Applicant has filed a Civil Suit No.322/2007 in the Court of Civil Judge, Porbandar against Porbandar Nagar Seva Sadan without impleading Archaeological Survey of India and obtained an “ex-parte” stay order. The SA has filed SCA No.27927/07 in Hon’ble High Court of Gujarat against the order. The matter is still pending.	The details of the court case were explained by the Dy.SA, Vadodara Circle to the members. The Committee recommended that the matter be deferred till the Hon’ble High Court issues directions.
18.	<b>C.S.No. 6839 to 6843, Tika No.12/59, Mangroli, Taluka Mangroli, Distt. Junaga</b>	Raveli Masjid, Mangroli, Dist. Junagadh	Prohibited Area	12.00 mtrs.	Reconstruction of commercial building.	<b>North:</b> Residential building GF+2, height upto 12 mtrs. <b>South: Road, shops &amp; residential building GF+1&amp;2, height 3 to 10 mtrs.</b> <b>East</b> ∴.PWD	_____	The existing structure was affected by the earthquake -2001. Nagarpalika Mangroli had issued notice to	Matter is pending in Hon’ble Court.	The members of the Committee were of the view that the ASI may issue permission for construction of the building upto the maximum height of 6.64 m since the appellant had to demolish the then existing building in 2001 because it was damaged during the earth quak as per the orders of the Nagar Palika, Mangroli.

	<b>dh near Raveli Masjid, Mangro l, Gujarat by Smt. Jashoda ben Indralal Vadha a.</b>					building GF+1, height 7 mtrs. <b>West:</b> Shop GF & residential building GF+1, height 3 to 7 mtrs.		applicant to demolish the old structure. Hence applicant demolished the existing building with intention for re-constructio n of a commercia l building.		
19.	<b>C.S.No. 6579/P, 6592/P &amp; 6593/P, Shahpu r-II, Ahmed abad near Rani Rupvati 's Mosque , Ahmed abad –</b>	Rani Rupvati 's Mosqu e, Ahmed abad	Prohibit ed Area	a.72 mtrs. b. 44 mtrs.	Construction of commercial building.	<b>North:</b> GF & GF+2, height 4 to 7 mtrs., internal road GF+1 & Cellar +GF+7, height 22 mtrs. <b>South: Road &amp; GF+1 &amp; Cellar+GF+7, height 22 mtrs., GF, GF+1, height 4 to 7 mtrs.</b> <b>East :</b> GF, GF+1, height 4 to 7 mtrs. <b>West:</b> Road &	Open plot	—	—	The Committee members expressed that the details furnished are not adequate enough to take a view in the matter. The Committee desired that SA, Vadodara Circle may be directed to collect more details pertaining to the monument and the site of construction. The decision was deferred for want of details.

	<b>Gujarat by the Chairman / Secretary of Cama &amp; Nahar Association.</b>					court building, height upto 22 mtrs. and GF, Road & open land, height 4 mtrs.				
20.	<b>P.T.S.No.116/24 (Part), Diu (U.T) near Saint Paul's Church, Diu by Smt. Kesarbai Premji.</b>	Saint Paul's Church, Diu (UT)	Prohibited Area	a. 100.00 mtrs. b. 85.00 mtrs.	Construction of residential building.	<b>North:</b> Residential building GF+1, height upto 7 mtrs. <b>South: Open plot, residential building GF+1, height upto 7 mtrs.</b> <b>East :</b> Open Plot & residential, houses GF, height 4 mtrs. <b>West:</b> Residential houses GF, height upto 5 mtrs.	Open Plot	—	—	The Dy. SA, Vadodara Circle gave a detailed presentation on the proposal with the help of photographs and drawings. He also informed the background of the case. The Committee members were of the opinion that the ASI may not agree with the proposal submitted by the appellant. The appellant may be asked to take up construction only in the regulated area i.e. beyond 100 m of the protected monument as was permitted by the ASI in 1999.





**CHENNAI CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
21.	Survey No.99/P and 100/P – Mamallapuram by M/S Mamallapuram Hotels (P) Ltd., Kanchipuram, Dist. Tamil Nadu.	Mukuntha Nayana Temple		238 mtrs.	Construction of additional Hotel rooms and additional facilities.  (i) Ht. 9 m (ii) Ht. 7.5 m	Ground conditions are the same where the monument is situated. There is no sand dunes at site. There is a road in-between the protected monument and the site of construction.	Good. 9 mtrs. Ground floor – 48000 sq.ft. First floor – 32000 sq.ft. Water tank No basement  The existing building was constructed in the year 1957 by Govt. of Tamilnadu and India Tourism	To cater to the increasing needs of foreign tourists and increase in demand from tourists for accommodation.	The land and building owned by the Company “Mamallapuram hotels Pvt. Ltd.” The construction work started without obtaining NOC from ASI and as on date more than 75% of work has been carried out. The proposal is for ex-post facto grant of permission in the second regulated area of the	The Committee members after perusal of the drawings and photographs recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction in the second regulated area of the monuments at Mamallapuram upto the maximum height of 9 m in respect of one unit and upto the maximum height of 7.5 m in respect of other structures as per the details submitted by the appellant vide letter dated 19.8.2008. The Committee members also recommended that the construction already taken up by the appellant may be regularized by the ASI.

							Development Corporation Ltd. and the same was purchased by the present owners vide share purchase agreement under Disinvestment plan of Govt. of India.		monument.	
22.	<b>Survey no.702, Ward No.3, Block No.14, by Shri Amersingh.</b>	<b>Rajgopal Canna, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu</b>	Prohibited Area	3.00 Mtrs.	—	—	—	—	This matter was placed before the Expert Advisory Committee meeting held on 20.3.2008 wherein the Committee members desired more details to understand the proposal for construction. The required information has not yet been received from SA, Chennai Circle inspite of letter dated 23 <sup>rd</sup>	Since the details sought for by the members of the Committee in the 13 <sup>th</sup> Expert Advisory Committee meeting held on 20 <sup>th</sup> March, 2008 have not been submitted the matter can not be taken up for consideration. They opined that the concerned officials of the ASI may again be asked to submit details.

									May, 2008 and 2 <sup>nd</sup> September, 2008.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**PATNA CIRCLE**

<b>Sl No</b>	<b>Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status</b>	<b>Name of Monument</b>	<b>Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area</b>	<b>Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument</b>	<b>Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction</b>	<b>Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan</b>	<b>Reasons to undertake proposed work</b>	<b>Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property</b>	<b>Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee</b>
23.		<b>Tibetan Temple at Kushinagar (U.P)</b>			Repairs and renovation	---	---	---	The request received from Shri Lama Chosphe Zotpa, was referred to SA, Patna Circle vide letter dated 3.6.2008. In response the SA, Patna has written a letter to the Jont. Secretary of His Holiness, the Dalai Lama for furnishing the details in Form – VII vide his letter dated 13.6.2008. The SA has again	The Committee could not take any view in the matter for want of details. The decision was deferred.

									been requested on 2.9.2008 but no reply has been received from him.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

**BHUBANESHWAR CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
24.	<b>Plot No.36(P.t.) of Mouza-Basuaghai, Bhubaneswar in respect of Smt. Sushma Nanda.</b>	<b>Brahmeshwar Temple</b>	Prohibited Area	48.00 mtrs.	Construction of residential building.	a) 18.29 mtrs. height from all side of monument. b) The plot is surrounded with residential buildings mostly single storeyed and double storeyed approx. height 3.5 mtrs. to 8 mtrs.	Open plot	New construction	—	The members of the Committee observed that there are buildings already constructed in between the monument and site where the construction is proposed. They felt that the view of the monument is not likely to be affected in any manner and hence permission for construction could be granted subject to the condition that the height of the building is not more than 9 m including the parapet wall and mummy from the ground level. They recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction at plot no. 36 (part) at Mauza Basuaghai,

										Bhubaneshwar in the prohibited area of Brahmeshwar temple.
25.	<b>Plot No.4(P) Khata No.244, in r/o Shri Prafulla Kumar Mallik and Shri pradipta Kumar Mallik.</b>	<b>Atharnala Bridge, Puri</b>	Prohibited Area	50.00mtrs.	Construction of residential / commercial building	There is no building adjacent to the plot. However there are some single storied and double storied buildings in front of the plot. In between the buildings and the plot a public road is passing.	Open plot	New construction	_____	The Committee observed that the site of construction appears to be part of the Nalla. They felt that such natural features are normally owned by the Govt. whereas the appellant claims that the plot is own by him. The members also expressed that in the entire depression of the Nalla there is no building in existence. They desired that the ASI may check up with the Revenue authorities the status of the ownership of the plot which is claimed by Shri Prafulla Kumar Mallik and Shri Pradipta Kumar Mallik. The case was accordingly deferred.
26.	<b>Property No.EB-783, Badagada BRIT Colony, Bhubaneshwar in r/o Shri</b>	Bhaskaresvara Temple	Prohibited Area	70.00 mtrs.	Construction of residential building	There are residential buildings mostly single storeyed and double storeyed of approx. height 3.5 mtrs. to 8 mtrs.	In good condition	Addition/alteration	_____	The Committee observed that detailed documentation of the monument, the construction site and the existing ground conditions should be attempted by the ASI afresh. The case was accordingly deferred.

	<b>N.P. Swain.</b>									
27.	<b>Property No.121, Phase III, Badagada Mouza, Bhubaneswar, Orissa in r/o Shri Sanatan Das.</b>		Prohibited Area	—	Construction of residential building.	—	Open plot	New construction	The details of the proposed construction has not been received from SA, Bhubaneswar Circle inspite of letter dated 1.9.2008 and 2.9.2008.	Since SA, Bhubaneswar Circle did not send the details, the decision on the appeal was deferred. The members recommended that the SA should be asked to submit the details for consideration by the Committee in its next meeting.





**AURANGABAD CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protect ed/ Prohibi ted/ Regula ted Area	Dist- ance (a) from prot ecte d limit (b) from mon ume nt	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
28.	<b>Barrage near Chhatri , Balapur , Dist. Akola by General Manager (C), Maharashtra State Power Generation Company</b>	Chhatri at Balapur , Dist. Akola	Regulat ed Area	180 mtrs. Some works were also plan ned in the prohi bited area.	i) Construction of the barrage (height 8.3 m) at a distance of 180 m. ii) Construction of retaining wall from barrage upto the monument. iii) Protection wall around the monument. iv) Railing around the monument. v) Concrete lining / pitching from the	—	—	Length of Barrage is 171 mtrs.	The construction of Barrage is in public interest. But to ensure safety of the monument the proposal required detailed examination.	Since SA, Aurangabad Circle did not send the details the decision on the appeal was deferred, the members recommended that the SA should be asked to submit the details for consideration of the proposal by the Committee in its next meeting.

	<b>Limited , Balapur , Dist. Akola.</b>				monument upto thebarrage. vi) Development of the area close to the monument.					
--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

## LUCKNOW CIRCLE

SI No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protect ed/ Prohibi ted/ Regula ted Area	Dist- ance (a) from prot ecte d limit (b) from mon ume nt	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
29.	<b>Property No.5, Capper Road, Lucknow</b> by <b>Shri Nalin Rastogi &amp; others.</b>	Amjad Ali Shah Mausol eum	Prohibit ed Area	3. 03 mtrs.	Re-construction of residential building..	—	—	—	The matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad, Lucknow Bench.	The Committee members desired that SA should be asked to submit the details and give a power-point presentation so that the Committee could take a view on the appeal in its next meeting.
30.	<b>Hotel Jawahar International at lucknow.</b>	British Cemete ry at Chiria Jhil, Lucknow	Prohibit ed	24.4 0 mtrs.	—	—	—	—	The details of the proposed construction has not been received from SA, Lucknow Circle inspite of letter	The members opined that the proposal could be discussed in the next meeting of the Committee after the required details have been received and SA, Lucknow Circle or his representative is present to give

									dated 1.9.2008.	a power-point presentation explaining the ground situations and the background. Decision on the case was deferred.
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------	--

**THRISSUR CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
31.	Survey no.70/2 at Ward no.XI, in respect of Smt. Sreeja, Pattambi.	Shiva Temple , Netrimangalam, Pattambi, Palakkad	Prohibited Area	a. 92 mtrs. b. 70 mtrs.	New construction of residential building.	<b>North:</b> Vacant Plot <b>East:</b> Residential building, height 4.5 mtrs. <b>West:</b> Residential building, height 4.5 mtrs.	Open plot	New construction	—	The Committee members observed that in places like Netrimangalam where the visual quality of the monument is still intact permission for construction should be granted in the prohibited area after having done a detailed assessment of the ground situation. They further observed that such localities may not be treated at par with cities like Ahmedabad, Delhi and other cities / towns where the visual quality has already impaired. They however, observed that in the present case the temple complex is enclosed by a high <i>prakara</i> wall

										and the temple is not visible from the road side. The surroundings of the temple has dense plantation. The construction sites are fairly large in area about 0.5 acres. The members felt that the impact of allowing construction with restricted height would therefore be negligible. They recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction upto the maximum height of 7 m including mumty and parapet wall. They, however, desired that the appellant may be asked to construct the house having tiled roof as per the traditional architecture.
32.	<b>land at Palakkad District, Kerala in r/o Smt. Vrinda C. M.</b>	Siva Temple , Netrimangalam, pattambi, Palakkad	Prohibited Area	a. 50 mtrs. b. 20 mtrs.	New construction of residential building	North: 7.00 mtrs. East: 7.00 mtrs. West: Vacant plot South: 4.50 mtrs.	Open plot	New construction	—	The members of the Committee opined that ASI may grant permission for construction subject to the condition that the appellant contains the height of the building upto 4.30 m including parapet wall and mumty.

33.	<b>land adjacent to Peruvnam Mahadev temple, Thrissur, Kerala in r/o Smt. Sobha Narayanan.</b>	Siva Temple, peruvanam	Prohibited Area	a. 95 mtrs. b.40 mtrs.	New construction of residential building.	North: 7.00 mtrs. East: 7.00 mtrs. West: 4.00 mtrs. South: Vacant plot	Open plot	New construction	—	The Committee was of the opinion that the construction proposed at the site is not likely to cause any obstruction in viewing the monument in any manner subject to the condition that the height restriction of 4.30 m is imposed. They recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction at the site to the appellant.
34.	<b>Survey No.77/44 at ward No.11 near Shiva Temple, Netrimangalam, Pattambi, Palakkad District in respect of Shri</b>	Siva Temple, Netrimangalam, Pattambi, Palakkad District.	Prohibited Area	11.00 mtrs.	New construction of residential building.	North: Vacant plot East: 5.00 mtrs. West: 7.00 mtrs. South: Vacant plot	Open plot	New construction	—	The Committee observed that the appellant has mentioned different heights in original application and the appeal made to the DG, ASI. They, therefore, opined that the appeal made should be rejected as how come the facts in respect of dimension be different in the original application made to SA (which had been rejected) and the appeal made subsequently to the DG, ASI.



	<b>Rahul S/o Shri Bhashkaran.</b>									
35.	<b>Survey No.255/41-3 at Thangassery in respect of Smt. Jenifar, P.A.H. of Anil Mathew , Kottayam, P.O. Kollam, Kerala.</b>	<b>Thangassery Fort , Kerala</b>	Prohibited Area	23m	Construction of residential building.	NIL	Open plot	New construction	----	The members of the Committee were not convinced with the proposal since the site of construction is very close to the monument. Moreover, there is no structure between the monument and the site of construction. The members advised that ASI may not accede to the request made and instead turn down the proposal.

**AGRA CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
36.	<b>Toilet block (Public conveniences) by Agra Nagar Nigam, Agra.</b>	Roman Catholic Cemetery	Prohibited Area	0 meters	Construction of Toilet Block	Proposed construction is on the west of the boundary wall of the monument at zero mtrs. distance	Proposed construction is new one .	Toilet Block for public interest.	SA has informed that the proposal may not be accepted and ANP may be asked to shift the location of the toilet as the view of the monument shall be marred from road side.	The details of the proposal were explained to the members The Committee felt that the construction of toilet blocks abutting the boundary wall of the monument should not be allowed by the ASI. They advised the ASI that the Agra Nagar Nigam may be requested to look for some other site away from the monument for the purpose.
37.	<b>Laying of sewer line of 250 mm &amp; 300 mm dia under</b>	Small Chhatri and Kos Minar	Prohibited Area	Small Chhatri lies 12.00	Construction of under ground sewer line.	—	Proposal is for underground construction of Sewer line.	Public interest.	—	The members of the Committee felt that there is no problem in granting permission for laying the sewer line provided the distance of the sewer line is increased atleast upto 6 m away from the Kos Minar, a protected

	<b>Yamuna Action Plan by Project Manager, Temp. Yamuna Pollution Control Unit, U.P. Jal Nigam, Water Works X-ing, Agra</b>			mtrs. north from proposed sewer line and 03.40 mtrs. from Kos Mina r lying in the north .						monument. They were of the opinion that the proposal in the present form is detrimental to the interest of the monument because of very short distance of the proposed sewer line from the monument. The members of the Committee also desired that the U.P. Jal Nigam may be requested to take the proposed sewer line much away from the monument and re-submit the proposal to the ASI along with fresh set of price explaining the outer periphery of the sewer line and the outer edges of the trench to be dug.
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	---

**GOA CIRCLE**

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
38.	<b>House No.137 in Survey No.153/3, Village Ella, Tiswadi Taluk, Old Goa in respect of Shri Xavier Fialho.</b>	Basilica of Bom Jesus, Old Goa	Prohibited Area	a. 226 mtrs. b. 61.50 mtrs.	Repair and renovation of the existing house no.137.	Mostly construction of ground floor and rest are temporary construction.	RCC slab G+1 building + an overhead water tank. a. The height present building is 8.90 mtrs. (including height of water tank above RCC slab that is 2.90 mtrs. b. Area of ground floor is 162.62 sq.mtrs.	—	The matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Goa Bench, Panaji. The ASI has sought six week's time to file the counter reply.	The Committee observed that the Churches and Convents in Old Goa are declared as World Heritage Site by the UNESCO and modern constructions in the surrounding areas may damage their ambience. They felt that construction of buildings having substantial height in close vicinity of the monuments should not be permitted. They, however, recommended that the ASI may regularize the building unauthorisedly constructed by Mr. Xavier Fialho upto the maximum height of 3 m at par with the maximum height of the building which originally existed on the plot. They

							<p>c. Area of first floor : 187.82 sq.mtrs.</p> <p>d. Water tank above the RCC slab: 5.0 mtrs. in length x 2.70 mtrs. in width and 2.90 mtrs. in height.</p> <p>e. Construction from the road level: 1.80 mtrs. high.</p>			<p>desired that the owner may be asked to pull down the part of the building unauthorizedly constructed beyond the height of 3 m. It was also recommended by the members that the ASI may ask the owner of the property to clad the outer walls of the building with laterite stone blocks matching with the traditional buildings in the locality.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	---

**BANGALORE CIRCLE**

<b>Sl No</b>	<b>Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status</b>	<b>Name of Monument</b>	<b>Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area</b>	<b>Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument</b>	<b>Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction</b>	<b>Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan</b>	<b>Reasons to undertake proposed work</b>	<b>Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property</b>	<b>Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee</b>
39.	<b>40 Meter Tower in respect of Bharat Sanchar Nigam limited, Shimoga</b>	<b>Musafir khana &amp; Honda, Santhebennur – Channagiri Taluk – Davanagere District, Karnataka State</b>	Prohibited Area	70.00 mtrs.	Construction of 40 Mtrs. Tower	_____	_____	_____	The details of the proposed construction has not been received from SA, Bangalore Circle inspite of letter dated 2.9.2008.	The Committee opined that the proposal could be discussed in the next meeting of the Committee after the required details have been received and SA, Bangalore Circle or his representative is present to give a power-point presentation explaining the ground conditions. Decision on the case was deferred.