Minutes of the 16th meeting of the Expert Advisory Committee to review the cases for construction/reconstruction/addition/alteration/renovation of buildings in the prohibited/regulated areas of the centrally protected monuments held on Thursday 24th July, 2008 at 1.00 pm in the Conference Room, Office of the Director General, Archaeological Survey of India, Janpath, New Delhi.

The meeting was chaired by the Director General, ASI and was attended by following members of the Expert Advisory Committee and officers of ASI, MCD and DDA-

1. Prof. K.T. Ravindran - Member, EAC
2. Prof. Mohd. Shaheer - Member, EAC

Officers from ASI, MCD and DDA

1. Shri Vijay S. Madan, Addl. D.G., ASI
2. Shri A.K. Sinha, S.A. (M)
3. Shri K.K. Mohammed, SA, Delhi Circle
4. Shri G.S. Narsimhan, SA, Mumbai Circle
5. Shri V.K.Swarnkar,Dy. SA, Delhi Circle
6. Shri Satyavir, AC(MCD)
7. Shri Anil Tyagi, Executive Engineer, MCD
8. Shri Naurang Singh, SE, MCD
9. Shri R.K. Joshi, EE,DDA
10. Shri P.K. Nanda, SE, DDA
11. Shri A.K. Dev, Architect, DDA
12. Shri A.S, Baba, Architect, DDA
13. Shri S.C. Jain, Architect, DDA

Before taking up the appeal cases included in the agenda as submitted by the respective SAs of the Circles, Prof. K.T. Ravindran suggested that the minutes of the meeting could be issued in tabular form as per the format adopted by DUAC so that it is handy and easy to refer, which was agreed to by the Chairman and Prof. M. Shaheer. It was also deliberated that an exercise should be done to frame broad guidelines for according permissions in the prohibited and regulated areas so that the powers to grant permission could be delegated to SAs of the Circles and the Committee takes up only sensitive and complicated cases because it would be difficult for the Committee to examine all the appeal cases in view of large number of cases being received. Director General, ASI informed the members that each Circle is being asked to identify 10 to 15 protected monuments and identify their prohibited and
regulated areas based upon their nature and importance and existing ground conditions. In respect of Delhi and Vadodara Circles, she informed the members that prohibited and regulated areas for each protected monument need to be identified. Prof. Ravindran and Prof. M. Shaheer welcomed the idea and desired that DUAC may extend support to ASI in doing this exercise jointly provided ASI makes a formal request. Director General, ASI assured them that she would initiate action accordingly.

Prof. Narayani Gupta, member, Expert Advisory Committee could not attend the meeting since she was out of station. She, however, agreed to look at the power-point presentation, relevant documents and opinion of the members expressed in each case in the meeting to give her consent in each case on 4.8.2008 so that the same could be considered by the ASI before taking decision for grant of permission. She was shown the power point presentation on each proposal prepared by respective SAs of the Circles which were discussed in the meeting and also the relevant documents. She was also informed about the opinion expressed by Prof. K.T. Ravindran and Prof. M. Shaheer in respect of each case during the meeting.

She agreed with the recommendations of other Expert Committee members who had attended the meeting.

After the general discussion the members examined the following cases-

**Delhi Circle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL No</th>
<th>Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status</th>
<th>Name of Monument</th>
<th>Protected/Prohibited/Regulated Area</th>
<th>Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument</th>
<th>Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction</th>
<th>Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction</th>
<th>Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan</th>
<th>Reasons to undertake proposed work</th>
<th>Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/property</th>
<th>Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temple of Bhairon Devji, Assistant Commissioner Rajasthan Devasthan Department, Govt. of Rajasthan</td>
<td>Jantar Mantar</td>
<td>Prohibited area</td>
<td>(a) 7m (b) 45m</td>
<td>Construction of a Chowkidar’s Quarter comprising a room and kitchen and a Guest House having a room and bathroom with the maximum height of 5.275m as per the drawing submitted.</td>
<td>North: Colony Road and residential buildings with 12.50 height. South: Road connecting Parliament Street with Janpath. East: Road and Hospital with 9.50m height. West: Jantar Mantar</td>
<td>Only a Bamboo Hut exists in the premises of Bhairon ji temple where construction is proposed</td>
<td>A guest House is required for the officials of Rajasthan Govt. during their visits to Delhi and Chowkidar Room for Watch and Ward.</td>
<td>It has been informed by the Asst Commissioner that Jantar Manatar was earlier under the Govt. of Rajasthan which was later handed over to the Archaeological Survey of India. It has also been stated that while handing over Jantar Mantar to ASI, it was decided that in close vicinity where the temple of Bhairon</td>
<td>Govt. of Rajasthan may be requested to make a presentation before the Committee in the next meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **C-1/49, Safdarjung Development Area, Sh. Baldev Krishna Ahuja**  
   **Sakri Gumti**  
   **Prohibited area**  
   **(a) 17m**  
   **(b) 17m**  
   Providing a lift and alteration in the toilet within existing house. The M.R.L. lift will be used so that Machine Room is not required so that height of the existing building would remain same.  
   **North:** Road South: Service lane and residential building with 10m height.  
   **East:** Residential building with 10m height  
   **West:** Colony road and playground of school.  
   Road exists between the monument and property  
   **Drawing sanctioned in 1986. Ground, first floor and mansly & partly built IInd floor with height of 9.90m**  
   **Shri Ahuja has stated that he is Senior Citizen and suffering from Heart ailment is unable to clime up to the IInd Floor.**  
   **NOC for simple repair was granted on 15-11-2007. Now owner wants to build the lift and toilet block which was turn down the Superintending Archaeologist, Delhi Circle**  
   **Recommended for permission as requested subject to the condition that no addition shall take place except providing MRL lift and alteration in the existing toilet.**

3. **C-37, East of Kailash, Sh. L.M. Mishra**  
   **Ashokan Rock Edict**  
   **Prohibited area**  
   **(a) 83m**  
   **(b) 134m**  
   Proposal for the construction of basement, Ground 1st, IInd and IIIrd Floor with maximum height of 15m.  
   Presently the plot is vacant  
   **North:** Road South: Residential buildings with 9.00m to 12.50m height.  
   **East:** Residential building with 15.00m height.  
   **West:** Residential building with 15.00m height.  
   **Road/residential buildings and market exist between the monument and property**  
   The applicant has already demolished the existing structure in the year 2004  
   The applicant had demolished the existing structure while he had applied for the NOC in the Archaeological Survey of India but same was rejected vide letter of even no. dated 11-10-2004 by Delhi Circle  
   **The Committee recommended for permission for the reconstruction subject to maximum height of 15 m with basement as per MCD bye-laws.**
### 4. RUB, PWD

**Barapullah Bridge**

**Prohibited area**

**24m-road alignment (60m underpass)**

Construction of RUB at the location of level crossing No. 582-B. The approach road of RUB will be started close to approach road of Barapullah Bridge at a distance of 24m. The under bridge road proposed is 9.5m wide with pavement / footpath on both the sides. The depth of the road proposed is 4.50m as proposed. The under pass road shall run along the supporting wall of Barapulla Bridge and shall take ‘L’ turn inorder to join the underground railway bridge. The distance between the site of under bridge and monument is 60m.

The site of proposed alignment is surrounded by single storey unauthorized JJ cluster and market with temporary structures.

Digging work was started by MCD but stopped by the ASI.

**Construction of RUB at the location of level crossing No. 582-B in the interest of public safety and convenience which is to be constructed as one of the alternative approach roads in connection with Commonwealth Games-2010 and smooth traffic flow between Nizamuddin and Sarai Kale Khan.**

The Committee desired to arrange a site visit to evaluate the proposal.

#### 5. Improvement of existing Swimming Pool alongwith utility structures in Siri Fort

**Siri Fort Wall**

**Prohibited area**

**28m from the protected limit**

Construction of tensile roof structure over the swimming pool; additional block facility for housing the medical and other facilities for the players and covering of tennis structures with height of 6 to 8m exist in the vicinity.

Presently swimming pool exist with some utility structures and few structures of administrative block with 6 to 8m height.

Improvement and providing facilities for the forthcoming Commonwealth Games-2010 as per the international standard.

The proposal was placed before the Expert Advisory Committee in the 15th meeting held on 07-05-2008 wherein the members had directed that the DDA authorities should submit details of the proposal.

The Committee made the following recommendations:

- **(a) Covering of Swimming Pool:** May be permitted subject to (i) upto the maximum height of 11 m only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports Complex by DDA</th>
<th>upto the edge of swimming pool 24m (nearest pillar of the tensile structure)</th>
<th>court in the Badminton Court at Administrative Block an area to create facilities for the Games</th>
<th>standards.</th>
<th>on each item of proposed work relating to improvement of existing swimming pool along with utility structure in Siri Fort Sports complex for perusal of the members in its next meeting to take a view in the matter.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) 47 m (b) 47 m</td>
<td>Reconstruction of the building upto third floor having height of 15m and North: Temple with approximate 18 m. South: Residential building with 4m to Building is already constructed upto 12.84m</td>
<td>(ii) Dimension of pillars to be reduced and be done in steel. (iii) Weight of tubular structure to be reduced. (b) Single storeyed structures adjoining the existing structures. The Committee recommended permission to construct a single-storeyed structure as per the drawings submitted upto the maximum height of the adjacent existing single-storeyed structures. (c) Other Proposals Since the drawings in respect of other proposals were found to be inadequate and inaccurate, the Committee desired DDA to submit fresh drawings for consideration in the next meeting.</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>The members recommended grant of permission for re-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Site Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B-21, Mayfair Garden, Ms Babita Hathiramani</td>
<td>Mukhdu Mosque</td>
<td>Prohibite area</td>
<td>63m (b) 63m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6, Devi Bhawan, Nizamudd in East, Shri Mubarak Ali Khan and Shri Rashid Hasan</td>
<td>Chausath Khamba</td>
<td>Prohibite area area</td>
<td>48m (b) 48m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Monument and Site Details</td>
<td>Applicant's Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F-1/4, Hauz Khas Enclave, Shri S.K. Bhattacharyya</td>
<td>Chor Minar Prohibited area</td>
<td>Reconstruction of building comprising ground, first, second and third floors with parapet wall on the roof terrace with maximum height of 15m</td>
<td>Applicant has submitted an affidavit in lieu of existing building plan. First floor with mumty exist presently. The applicant has stated that due to increase of family requirement he is facing acute shortage of accommodation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>X-20, Hauz Khas Enclave, Shri Deepak Wadhwa</td>
<td>Nili Masjid Prohibited area</td>
<td>Construction of third floor upto maximum height 15m and free of FAR basement.</td>
<td>As admissible under MPD-2021 and under the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Earlier permission was accorded for additional construction for first and second floors upto the maximum height of 12.50m from ground level including mumty/machine room as per the recommendation of EAC on 28-03-2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Khasra nos. 371, 372, 373, 374, 375/694 at Village Kharera, Idgah</td>
<td>Partly in the prohibited area partly in regulated area</td>
<td>The applicant has submitted four alternatives in which he wants construction in the prohibited area (9.75m or 13m) The monument as well as the site of proposed construction both are surrounded by buildings with 5.50m to 15m height (site Vacant plot. (The permission for the construction in the regulated area upto the maximum) The plot is lying vacant after the ownership rests with the owner and wants the</td>
<td>The following alternative have been given by the applicants after leaving 51m area from the monument: Construction in the (i) Prohibited area- 13m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehsil</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Additional Height/Relaxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehrauli</td>
<td>X-19, Hauz Khas Enclave, Shri Pradip Agrawal</td>
<td>51m</td>
<td>100m</td>
<td>29.25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tehsil</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Additional Height/Relaxation</th>
<th>Status/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-31, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi, Shri Tejinder Singh</td>
<td>Arab ki Sarai</td>
<td>Prohibited area</td>
<td>(a) 88m (b) 88m</td>
<td>Reconstruction of the house up to the existing height and condition imposed for restricting the floor area may be reviewed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The building with a height of 12.35m consists of a ground floor, first floor and attic. The existing building subject to the condition that the applicant shall restrict the covered area of each floor of the existing building and shall not undertake any horizontal and vertical expansion. The height of the existing building is to be maintained at 12.35m as per the FAR permitted under the MPD-2021, while undertaking reconstruction of the building up to the maximum height of 12.35m. Instead of adding the second floor for which permission was accorded, earlier permission was accorded for construction of the second floor up to the maximum height of 12.35m as per the recommendation of the EAC on 11-02-2008.

The members of the Committee recommended rejection of the application as twice on previous occasions the applicant had been given relaxation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Address of Property</th>
<th>Prohibited Area</th>
<th>Maximum Height (m)</th>
<th>Description of Property</th>
<th>Restrictions</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>N-52, Panchshila Park, Smt. Sagarika Ghose</td>
<td>Prohibited area (a) 55m (b) 55m</td>
<td>Construction of building up to the maximum height of 11.55m with basement.</td>
<td>North: Colony Road South: Residential building with 8 m height East: Residential building with 4.50 m height. West: Residential building with 12m to 15m height. Road/residential buildings exist between the monument and property.</td>
<td>Building exists with the height of 8m consisting ground floor and mumty.</td>
<td>Construction of residential building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>S-34, Biran ka Gumbad, Dr. Hans Raj</td>
<td>Prohibited area (a) 84.5m (b) 84.5m</td>
<td>Construction of additional floors up to 15m</td>
<td>North: Commercial building with 9.00m to 12.00 m height. South: Commercial building with 8.00m to 15.00 m height East: Open land and colony Road. West: Gali and Residential building with 9.50m height. Road exist between the monument and property.</td>
<td>Building exists with the height of 4m consisting ground floor and mumty. The size of family has increased and more space is required to accommodate them.</td>
<td>The applicant has approved sanction plan in the year 1964 for three floors but could not construct. The Committee members recommended that ASI may accord permission for construction of first and second floors as per MCD byelaws upto the maximum height of 11 m plus mumty and machine room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Property Description</td>
<td>Prohibitions</td>
<td>Construction Details</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>S-34A, Green Park (Main), Dr. Hans Raj and Smt. Indrawati Biran ka Gumbad</td>
<td>Prohibited area</td>
<td>Construction of additional floors upto 15m</td>
<td>Commercial building with 9.00m to 12.00m height.</td>
<td>Commercial building with 8.00m to 15.00m height.</td>
<td>Open land and colony Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F-30, South Extension Part-I, Dr. R.K. Gupta Kale Khan’s Tomb</td>
<td>Prohibited area</td>
<td>Permission for construction of first floor over ground floor</td>
<td>Residential building with 10m height.</td>
<td>Residential building with 8.00m to 15.00m height.</td>
<td>Residential building with 9.50m to 12.50m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Committee recommended the ASI to accord permission for horizontal expansion of first floor and construction of second floor as per MCD bye laws up to the maximum height of 11m plus mumty and machine room.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Prohibited area (adjacent to the monument)</th>
<th>Construction details</th>
<th>Building details</th>
<th>Earlier permission</th>
<th>Permission for additional construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20 R-15, Hauz Khas</td>
<td>Idgah Kharera (adjacent to the monument)</td>
<td>Construction over the roof terrace of the first floor certain utility structures like servant quarter, storage and machine room well within already approved height upto mumty.</td>
<td>Building is under construction including ground floor and first floor To accommodate utility structures like servant quarter and storage and machine room well within the existing height upto mumty.</td>
<td>Earlier permission was accorded for reconstruction / construction restricting the maximum height of 10.51 m from ground level upto mumty as per the recommendation of the EAC on 01-11-2007. But the request for basement had been rejected due to the proximity to the monument.</td>
<td>Permitted additional construction of servant quarters, storage space and machine room without any increase in the height i.e. at par with mumty. The Committee, however, desired that the additional construction over the terrace of the first floor should be done on the front side, of the terrace and not on the rear side which faces the monument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21 4843/24, Ansari Road, Darya Ganj, Dr. A.K. Walia</td>
<td>City Wall</td>
<td>Reconstruction of the building upto 17m height with stilt to enable him to provide car parking space at the ground level as North: Residential cum commercial building with 10m to 17m height. South: Ansari Road and City Wall East: Residential – Building exists with the height of 9.15m approx. The applicant has not submitted any sanctioned permission for reconstruction of ground, first and second floors upto the maximum height of 13 m without basement.</td>
<td>Applicant mentioned that MCD in general allows construction upto height of stilt for car parking.</td>
<td>The Committee members recommended for reconstruction upto the maximum height of 15 m to accommodate stilt for car parking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>9, Tolstoy Marg</td>
<td>Ugrasain ki Baoli</td>
<td>Regulated area</td>
<td>(a) 114.90m</td>
<td>(b) 114.90m</td>
<td>Relaxation of height for construction of building upto 45m alongwith 13.5m deep basement (instead of 8.4m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

per the guidelines of MPD -2021.
cum commercial building with 15m to 17m height
West: Residential – cum building with 15m height
drawing.
15m and also gives relaxation in height for parking purposes.
recommendation of EAC dated 28-03-2008 have been granted. But the request for basement had been rejected due to the proximity to the monument.
subject to submission of detailed drawings including the façade to the ASI for record and reference.
### Mumbai Circle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status</th>
<th>Name of Monument</th>
<th>Protected/Prohibited/Area</th>
<th>Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument</th>
<th>Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction</th>
<th>Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction</th>
<th>Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan</th>
<th>Reasons to undertake proposed work</th>
<th>Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/property</th>
<th>Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Permission for providing and laying 230 mm dia S.W. pipe sewer line in the prohibited area of Kondivate Caves as proposed by Municipal Corporatio n of Greater Mumbai</td>
<td>Kondivate Caves</td>
<td>Prohibited Area</td>
<td>(a) 33.0 mts. (b) 50.0 mts (approx)</td>
<td>BMC is going to lay the sewer line up to toilet block which is situated infront of Caves entance.</td>
<td>Sewer Line is proposed to laid along the road alingment.</td>
<td>The work was stopped 33 mts. away from the protected limit</td>
<td>According to BMC the work, will Benefit the local public by availing them the sewerage facility.</td>
<td>1. Toilet block adjacent to monument’s gate is giving a adverse look to monument. 2. We have already made correspondence with MHADA (Maharashtra Housing &amp; Development Authority) to remove the toilet block &amp; shift it. 3. Minister of Tourism Shri Shetty during his visit to Monument also agreed to remove the toilet structure</td>
<td>The Committee recommended rejection of the application and desired that ASI may pursue with BMC for relocation of toilet in order to improve the approach to and immediate ambience of the Caves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>CTS No. 106, Shaniwar Peth, Pune Shri Ajay V. Patvardhan and Shriram Narayan Shastri</td>
<td>Shaniwarwada</td>
<td>Prohibited Area</td>
<td>(a) 30.0 mts. (b) 30.0 mts.</td>
<td>Permission for completing the construction work</td>
<td>Road exists between the monument and property</td>
<td>The construction was stopped after giving the notice by ASI</td>
<td>The applicant wants to complete the remaining work</td>
<td>A WP was also filed in the H’ble High Court of Mumbai challenging the 1992 notification by Krishna Narayan Dandavate through his advocate, the H’ble Court stayed the operation of the 1992 notification as</td>
<td>Since the matter is pending in the Hon’ble Supreme Court, the Committee observed that ASI may wait for their decision in the matter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
far as the city of Pune is concerned. Challenging the orders of the Mumbai High Court, ASI Mumbai Circle filed a WP in the Apex court (SLP No. 19300 of 2006) and the Supreme Court was pleased to grant an interim stay (clubbing the other two SLPs also) in favour of ASI, which continues to be in force till date.

25. C.T.S. No. 364+365/3, FP no. 713+714/3, Shivaji Nagar Pune Shri G.M. Shetty

Pataleshwar Caves Prohibited Area

(a) 60.0 mts
(b) 100.0 mts approx

The builder has proposed a height of 19.20 mtrs from the ground level

Proposed construction site is facing two side roads, northern side is Shivaji Nagar Road & a lane on Eastern side.

The Proposed construction site presently having a Restaurant & shops on Shivaji Nagar road side. The existing structure is ground floor structure.

The applicant has stated that they are facing problems of leakage because of old construction, now they want to construct new building.

The construction is coming behind an already existing building of equal height and does not in any way obstruct the view of the monument which is below the ground level.

The members recommended for reconstruction upto the maximum height of 17 m.

26. Permission for proposed 4000 MW Power Project and other industrial/economic infrastructure

The nearest centrally protected monument is nearly 12 kms away from the proposed project site and other monuments such as Korlai

The land around the proposed Power project is mostly N/A

The Reliance company has proposed the power project.

The nearest centrally protected monument is nearly 12 kms away from the proposed project site and other monuments such as Korlai

The Committee desired that the proposal should be referred to Director (Science) for examination of the effect of environmental pollution likely to be caused to the monuments located in the vicinity.
The project site Fort, Alibag, Fort, Ambivali caves are at a farther distance ranging from 20 Kms to 40 Kms. Accordingly, this office had conduct an exploration of the project site and its surroundings. The site was explored on 5th July 2008 and according to the report submitted by Shri Manish Rai, there are no other archaeological sites or remains, of great potential, located in the region. There are three temples namely Bahiresvar temple, Ram Mandir and Hanuman Mandir which are not of any archaeological significance, but of purely local importance. As per the
information collected from the locals, there are no remains of any loose sculptures or inscriptions in and around the project site.


Jogeshwari Caves

Prohibited / Regulated area

60.0 m approx

Developer has proposed four buildings (2 sale Building & 2 rehab building) having Gr.+ 9 upper floors i.e. 30 mtrs. height, in first regulated area.
The area falling under prohibited area will be developed as recreation garden

Proposed construction site is facing three side roads, Northern side is link road & lane on southern & western side.

Presently slums exist there.

The proposal under SRA scheme for construction of rehabilitation and sale buildings

The Directorate has recently addressed a letter to the BMC and MMRDA, Mumbai for preparing a Comprehensive Development plan and presents it for the approval of the expert Advisory committee. Till such time the committee decided to defer all the proposals pertaining to the regulated area of Jogeshwari Caves.

BMC / MMRD to be requested to submit comprehensive development plan for Jogeshwari Caves as already decided earlier while examining some other proposals of similar nature in the regulated area of Jogeshwari Caves.

Jaipur Circle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Property No. and Name of The Owner</th>
<th>Name of Monument</th>
<th>Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area</th>
<th>Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument</th>
<th>Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction</th>
<th>Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction</th>
<th>Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan</th>
<th>Reasons to undertake proposed work</th>
<th>Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property</th>
<th>Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Locality Jhalrapata</td>
<td>Old temple</td>
<td>Prohibited area</td>
<td>Distance of different</td>
<td>The details of different</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Developme of the</td>
<td>The details have been furnished by</td>
<td>The Committee members desired that the Govt. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
n, Distt. Jhalawar, State Rajasthan.

near Chandrabhaga

different proposed works from monuments varies from 1 mt. to 60 mts.

proposed works with height and area are given at Annexure I, Site Plan No. 2 and Figs. 1 to 9 as submitted with original report.

northern margin of the ghats built on left/northern back of Chandrabhaga river. The height of temple varies from 5 mts. to 12 mts.
(b) The details of sites of construction with height is given at Annexure I.
(c) No.

Ghats and the area around protected temples besides creating visitors facilities.

RTDC with the proposal.

SA has recommended the proposal with minor additions and alterations.

Rajasthan / RTDC authorities may be requested to make a presentation before the Expert Advisory Committee in its next meeting.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status</th>
<th>Name of Monument</th>
<th>Protected/Prohibited/Regulated Area</th>
<th>Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument</th>
<th>Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction</th>
<th>Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction</th>
<th>Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan</th>
<th>Reasons to undertake proposed work</th>
<th>Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/property</th>
<th>Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>The Dy. General Manager, Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Ltd., UAT&amp;T Circle, Garhgaon Raja’s Palace (Ahom Raja’s Palace), Garhgaon, Distt. Sivasagar,</td>
<td>Prohibited area (a) 11.5 m (b) 75 m</td>
<td>Construction of Assam Type Hostel building.</td>
<td>The monument is standing on a comparatively elevated land of about 5 to 6 m height from its surrounding area. The site of construction is situated on the</td>
<td>The monument is standing on a comparatively elevated land of about 5 to 6 m height from its surrounding area. The site of construction is situated on the</td>
<td>The north-western and south-eastern boundary wall of the monument is surrounded by Assam type quarters of Assam</td>
<td>To accommodate the operational staff meant for round the clock duty in the control room.</td>
<td>The Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Ltd. has stated that to meet the increasing demand of electricity the capacity of the existing Sub-station will be increased.</td>
<td>The Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Ltd. has stated that to meet the increasing demand of electricity the capacity of the existing Sub-station will be increased.</td>
<td>The members of the Committee agreed with the recommendation of SA and rejected the proposal on that basis. They desired that ASI may take up the matter with Govt. of Assam for relocation of power-station elsewhere and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garmur, Jorhat, Assam.</td>
<td>eastern (rear) side of the monument. A kachcha road surrounds the ancient boundary wall of the monument.</td>
<td>Electricity Department along the road. On the northern side of the monument a 132 KV Sub-station is existing for supply of electricity to different parts of the area. A Namghar is situated near the proposed site of construction and towards the northern side a security booth is also situated. The front portion of the monument is having an open field. Except a Namghar the front portion is almost free from any obstruction to view the monument.</td>
<td>augmented by installing terminal bays etc. under NEC funding and the construction of the proposed hostel building is essential. Recently, the Guwahati Circle conducted exploration and excavation around the monument which revealed two fortifications and a moat. Recent excavation inside the palatial complex has revealed structural remains consisting of brick wall, hearth and wooden posts. The exposed brick structure is running in north-south orientation and there is every possibility that its extension will be found on the southern and south-eastern side, beyond the present boundary wall.</td>
<td>hand over the vacant site to ASI for protection.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ancient brick structures were also encountered when foundation work was started for the proposed hostel building. A State protected monument known as Gola-ghar associated with the palatial building is situated towards the western side of the monument. Further investigation is likely to reveal important evidences related to Garhgaon of the Ahoms.

Copy forwarded for information & necessary action to:-
1. Prof Narayani Gupta, E-75, Masjid Moth, Greater Kailash Part-III, New Delhi-110048.
2. Prof K.T. Ravindran, Dean, School of Planning & Architecture, 4B, I.P. Estate, New Delhi-110002.
3. Prof M. Shahir, Landscape Architect, DUAC, 167/8, Sarai Julena Okhla Road, New Delhi-110025.
5. PS to DG, ASI, New Delhi.
6. PS to ADG, ASI, New Delhi.
7. PS to Jt.DG (M), ASI, New Delhi.